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CASE 1

A 39-year-old multigravida at 32 weeks of gestation presented to Labor and Delivery triage with a chief complaint of headache for 2 days. Her temperature was 38.6°C, blood pressure 114/61 mm Hg, heart rate 121 beats per minute (bpm), and respiratory rate 22 per minute. Her oxygen saturation was 96% on room air. She reported a sore throat the day prior and, on presentation, a mild cough and fatigue. Past medical history was remarkable for obesity and current tobacco use. On auscultation her chest was clear, and fetal monitoring was reassuring. Laboratory studies were notable for a white blood cell count of $5.8 \times 10^3$ microliters, and rapid antigen tests for influenza A and B were negative. Her fever resolved with acetaminophen, so she was discharged to home. Given her negative rapid influenza testing and mild symptoms, her presentation was felt to be consistent with a viral upper respiratory infection. Recommendations at that time regarding treatment of symptomatic patients with negative test results were vague, and she was managed expectantly.

Less than 24 hours later, she presented to triage again with severe worsening of her symptoms, complaining of increased work of breathing and generalized malaise. On initial examination she was febrile to 38.5°C, tachycardic (126 bpm), hypotensive (93/46 mm Hg), and tachypneic (40 per minute), and her oxygen saturation was 74% on room air (Table 1 for additional findings on admission). Initial fetal monitoring was reassuring. She was transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU) where she was intubated within 40 minutes of arriving at the hospital. She required a norepinephrine drip for blood pressure support after intubation. Empiric therapy of ceftriaxone, azithromycin, and oseltamivir (Tamiflu; Roche Pharmaceuticals, Nutley, NJ) was also initiated. Although external fetal monitoring in the ICU was initially reassuring, 15 minutes after intubation a terminal bradycardia to the 80s (bpm) was noted on the fetal heart rate tracing, which was confirmed by ultrasonography. Given this nonreassuring fetal status, she was delivered by emergency cesarean in the ICU. She delivered a female neonate with Apgar scores of 2, 3, and 5 (at 1, 5, and 10 minutes of life, respectively) and a weight of 1,500 g.

After delivery, the patient remained acutely ill and was treated with prostacyclin and prone bed positioning for alveolar recruitment. Oxygenation was extremely challenging, with ventilator settings reaching values of 100% FIO$_2$ and positive end expiratory pressure of 37. Her course was complicated by acute renal failure and massive fluid retention requiring a bumetanide drip. On hospital day 13, she developed femoral deep vein thromboses, despite enoxaparin prophylaxis, and a transthoracic echocardiogram revealed right ventricular enlargement, with elevated pulmonary artery pressures consistent with pulmonary embolism. She was too unstable for evaluation with computed tomography, so she was treated empirically with intravenous unfractionated heparin as anticoagulant. She continued to demonstrate profound hypoxia, with arterial oxygen tension ranging from 30–56 mm Hg, despite clot lysis with tissue-type plasminogen activator. On hospital day 19, she be-
came acutely unstable and died. Given her prolonged hypoxia, no resuscitation was attempted at both the request of her family and recommendation of her physicians.

**CASE 2**

A 32-year-old multigravida at 34 weeks and 6 days of gestational age presented to the Emergency Department with subjective fever, productive cough, and generalized malaise and was sent to obstetrics triage for evaluation. She was afebrile, had normal oxygen saturation and normal arterial blood gas (ABG) values. A direct fluorescence antibody for influenza was sent, and she was discharged with a presumptive diagnosis of influenza before results were made available and was prescribed oseltamivir. When contacted by phone for follow-up by the obstetrics team, she reported that she had also been called by an emergency department physician and told not to take the oseltamivir because greater than 48 hours had elapsed since the onset of her symptoms. The obstetrics team reiterated the importance of starting the treatment immediately, especially in light of the positive test result and continued symptoms, but the patient failed to comply.

Three days later, she presented to Labor and Delivery complaining of fever, productive cough, and pain with inspiration. Evaluation at that time included a temperature of 37.0°C, pulse of 119 bpm, respiratory rate of 26, and oxygen saturation of 96% on room air. Arterial blood gas sampling revealed a PaO₂ of 79 mm Hg. Her white count was 26×10⁹/microliter, and her chest X-ray revealed bibasilar opacities. She was started on oseltamivir, initially standard dosing, then increased to double-strength dosing on day 2, and antibiotic treatment for superimposed pneumonia with ceftriaxone, azithromycin, and vancomycin. She clinically improved with this regimen, requiring only oxygen supplementation using nasal cannula. She was discharged to home on hospital day 4.

![Fig. 1](image_url). This negative-stained transmission electron micrograph depicted some of the ultrastructural morphology of the A/CA/4/09 swine flu virus taken in the CDC Influenza Laboratory. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Images of the H1N1 influenza virus. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/images.htm. Retrieved August 3, 2009. Photo courtesy of CDC/C. S. Goldsmith and A. Balish.

**Table 1. Presentation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Estimated Gestational Age (wk)</th>
<th>Vital Signs</th>
<th>Arterial Blood Gas (pH/Pco₂/pO₂/Hco₃/BE)</th>
<th>Chest Radiography</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>32 1/7</td>
<td>Temp (°C)</td>
<td>BP (mmHg) HR (bpm) RR % Sao₂ on Room Air</td>
<td>Bilateral diffuse patchy opacities with consolidation of bilateral lower lung fields</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34 6/7</td>
<td>37.0</td>
<td>105/61 119 26 96</td>
<td>7.45/29/79/20/9/–2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>29 1/7</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>120/75 123 30 93</td>
<td>7.48/30/63/22/–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>23 1/7</td>
<td>39.5</td>
<td>125/75 116 32 90</td>
<td>7.49/26/59/20/–33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26 3/7</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>132/76 123 30 94</td>
<td>7.48/28/60/20/–3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>18 6/7</td>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>106/60 127 16 99</td>
<td>7.46/29/73/20/–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>38 4/7</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>139/90 120 20 99</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BP, blood pressure; HR, heart rate, RR, respiratory rate; % Sao₂, arterial oxygen saturation measured with peripheral pulse oximeter; BE, base excess.

QUESTIONS FOR THE SPECIALIST
What is the spectrum of H1N1 disease being observed in the general population? Is this different in pregnant women?

The H1N1 (Fig. 1) influenza pandemic is having far-reaching effects, with a wide range of disease presentations. Influenza-like illness is defined as fever and cough or sore throat. Other common symptoms include rhinorrhea, headache, shortness of breath, and myalgia, with some patients also reporting vomiting and diarrhea.1 Most cases reported by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) are described as mild, with only 11% of patients requiring hospitalization between April 15 and July 24 of this year.2 Our institution has initiated evaluation and treatment algorithms for both over-the-phone and clinic settings for this large group of women (Box 1). Although many patients will fall into this category, especially if presumptively treated, moderately ill patients with influenza may rapidly worsen.3

Influenza A infections in pregnancy have been associated with adverse maternal and neonatal outcomes, including preterm labor, preterm birth, pneumonia, adult respiratory distress syndrome, and most seriously, overwhelming maternal illness and death. The rate of hospital admission for H1N1 in pregnant women is much higher than for nonpregnant women.1 We have detailed above two different presentations

Table 2. Delivery Data*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>EGA</th>
<th>Method of Delivery</th>
<th>Indication</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Apgar Scores†</th>
<th>Birth Weight</th>
<th>Cord UA/Cord UV (pH/Pco2/pO2/BE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>32 1/7</td>
<td>Cesarean</td>
<td>Terminal bradycardia 80 bpm</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>2, 3, 5</td>
<td>1,500 g</td>
<td>7.21/58/26/−5.3 7.28/45/40/−5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Cesarean</td>
<td>PPROM, preterm labor, severe repetitive decelerations</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>8, 9</td>
<td>1,300 g</td>
<td>7.34/60/31/6 7.38/54/31/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>38 4/7</td>
<td>Cesarean</td>
<td>Arrest of descent</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>7, 8</td>
<td>3,575 g</td>
<td>7.18/70/25/−2 7.31/46/22/−3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EGA, estimated gestational age at delivery; UA, umbilical artery; UV, umbilical vein; BE, base excess; bpm, beats per minute; PPROM, preterm premature rupture of membranes.

* For patients delivered during the index admission.
† Apgar scores at 1 minute, 5 minutes, and 10 minutes (if applicable).

Table 3. Management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Testing (DFA or Rapid/PCR)</th>
<th>Clinical Course</th>
<th>Treatment*</th>
<th>Complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Neg/Pos</td>
<td>ICU monitoring, intubated on admission, ARDSNet†</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 150 mg bid × 10 d, ceftriaxone/azithromycin, cefepime/vancomycin, norepinephrine, prostacyclin, rotaprobe positioning, heparin drip, tissue-type plasminogen activator</td>
<td>Acute renal failure, pulmonary embolus, death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Pos/NA‡</td>
<td>Supplemental o2 by nasal cannula</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 150 mg bid × 5 d, ceftriaxone, azithromycin, vancomycin, clindamycin</td>
<td>Superimposed bacterial pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Neg/Pos</td>
<td>ICU monitoring, intubated HD #2; modified ARDSNet‡; extubated HD #13</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 150 mg bid × 10 d, amantadine, cefepime and vancomycin</td>
<td>Acute renal failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Neg/Pos</td>
<td>ICU monitoring, Supplemental O2 by nonrebreather face mask</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 150 mg bid × 10 d</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Pos/NA‡</td>
<td>ICU monitoring, Supplemental O2 by nonrebreather face mask</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 150 mg bid × 10 d, amantadine and azithromycin</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Pos/NA‡</td>
<td>Stepdown unit, supplemental O2 by nonrebreather face mask</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 75 mg bid × 5 d</td>
<td>Superimposed bacterial pneumonia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Pos/NA‡</td>
<td>Supplemental O2 by nasal cannula</td>
<td>Oseltamivir 75 mg bid × 5 d, ceftriaxone and azithromycin</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DFA, direct fluorescence antibody; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; Neg, negative; Pos, positive; ICU, intensive care unit; bid, twice daily; HD, hospital day; NA, not applicable.

* Oseltamivir route was per oral. All other drug therapy given intravenously.
† ARDSNet refers to specific ventilation protocols determined by the Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network. In general, these settings are used in patients with ARDS [PaO2/FiO2 less than 300], and the protocol recommends PaO2 goal of 55–80 mm Hg and a minimum positive end expiratory pressure of 5 mm Hg. Protocol modified in pregnancy to achieve goal PaO2 of greater than 70 mm Hg.
‡ San Francisco Department of Public Health stopped typing direct fluorescence antibody-positive specimens with polymerase chain reaction because 99% were H1N1 in July 2009.
but also have described five additional cases, not previously described in the literature, of confirmed H1N1 in pregnancy at our institutions, University of California, San Francisco, and San Francisco General Hospital, all seen between June 15 and July 30, 2009 (Tables 1, 2, and 3). Reviewing these cases underscores the variable presentations and wide range of acuity that can be seen with this illness. Estimated gestational age at presentation ranged from 18 6/7 weeks to 38 4/7 weeks on admission. Patients were noted to follow either a rapidly progressive disease course and become critically ill within 24–48 hours of admission, or to exhibit a milder disease process with a stable course. This report adds to a growing body of data that supports the notion that pregnant women may be both more susceptible to and exhibit more severe symptoms with H1N1 influenza than is seen in nonpregnant patients.1,4

How should pregnant women presenting with viral symptoms be evaluated?

Evaluation with serial monitoring of vital signs, including pulse oximetry, and physical examination is

---

**BOX 1. PRACTICE GUIDELINES: INFLUENZA-LIKE ILLNESS AND H1N1 INFLUENZA IN PREGNANCY**

**General considerations:**

Increased index of suspicion, surveillance, and treatment.

Telephone triage and treatment should be used with caution given the potential for severe disease in this population. Any patient who is high risk, lives in a group setting, or has poor access to care and follow-up should be evaluated in person.

Ill pregnant women should be isolated. Women with influenza-like illness complaints should be supplied with masks to wear during their visit to a health care provider.

**Evaluation:**

Serial vital signs including pulse oximetry.

Physical examination.

Low threshold for chest radiography and arterial blood gas sampling.

**Testing:**

Any pregnant woman with fever (more than 37.8°C) and cough or sore throat during the period influenza is circulating.

Rapid antigen test or direct florescence antibody test.*

Influenza polymerase chain reaction in any patient with influenza-like illness and

Hospitalized for at least 24 hours with either a positive or negative rapid test result.

Housed in group home or long-term care facility.

Any death complicated by influenza-like illness.

**Treatment:**

Pregnant women with symptoms consistent with influenza-like illness should be offered treatment during the period that influenza H1N1 is circulating, even when rapid influenza test results are negative, because the sensitivity of the test is suboptimal.

Treatment for presumed cases should be initiated within 48 hours of symptom onset for best results. Treatment may be started beyond 48 hours on a case-by-case basis.

First-line treatment: oseltamivir 75 mg orally twice daily for 5 days.† Pregnancy Category C.

Consultation with a maternal–fetal medicine specialist or a critical care specialist or both is recommended for all severely ill patients, in particular those requiring assisted ventilation.

**Follow-up:**

Given the potential for rapidly worsening disease, close follow-up is recommended. The practitioner prescribing treatment should plan to contact patients on treatment within the first 24 hours of therapy to evaluate response.

---

* Alternative is rapid testing/assay available at local laboratory.
† Consultation with an infectious disease specialist is recommended for critically ill patients for whom double dosing may be warranted.

Guidelines are original and developed for University of California, San Francisco Medical Center and San Francisco General Hospital based on Centers for Disease Control and Prevention recommendations.

Additional information and updates may be found at http://www.cdc.gov/h1n1flu/guidance/.
imperative for any pregnant woman presenting with viral symptoms consistent with influenza. Clinical assessment should guide judgment regarding the use of chest radiography and ABG measurement. A low threshold for obtaining these tests is reasonable, however, given quite abnormal ABG findings in our cases of women with normal oxygen saturations on room air. We err in favor of obtaining chest radiography and ABG in patients with findings on chest auscultation or with sustained pulse oximetry of less than 96% on room air (Box 1). Any patient with an abnormal ABG finding should be admitted to the hospital.

Given the highly infectious nature of H1N1, we recommend trying to evaluate symptomatic patients isolated from other pregnant patients. Safe telephone triage is highly dependent on the patient population, their access to health care, and their ability to reliably follow up. Telephone triage should be used quite cautiously with a low-threshold for in-person evaluation, preferably in a general medicine clinic or urgent care or emergency department with obstetrics consult. Any patient with obstetric complaints should be evaluated on Labor and Delivery; a mask should be worn by the patient and immediate respiratory droplet precautions should be taken.

What are the diagnostic dilemmas?
One factor complicating rapid diagnosis and treatment of the patient in Case 1 was the negative initial rapid influenza antigen testing. Unfortunately, although rapid antigen and DFA results are often available within 1–2 hours, the sensitivity of rapid antigen testing has been reported as low as 30%, with specificity as low as 58% in some studies.5–7 The direct fluorescence antibody test, however, can have a sensitivity equivalent to viral culture (98%) when an adequate sample is collected.

The rapid influenza antigen test, direct fluorescence antibody assay, and viral culture are dependent on the quantity of respiratory epithelial cells in the sample. In contrast, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) testing for Influenza A RNA is as sensitive as viral culture and is less dependent on the quantity of respiratory epithelial cells in the sample. Unfortunately, PCR test results are often not available in a timely fashion (often taking 1 week for results) and may not be accessible to practitioners. All of the above tests, with the exception of PCR, detect Influenza A generally and do not identify specific Influenza A subtypes. Moreover, these tests should be used diagnostically, with their limitations in mind, and not as a marker for disease progression. There is no evidence that repeat testing to indicate the presence or absence of continued viral shedding is indicated if the patient is clinically improving.

Given these test characteristics and the potential for aggressive and severe disease, we recommend an increased index of suspicion for H1N1 influenza in women with influenza-like illness in pregnancy, even with negative rapid antigen or direct fluorescence antibody testing. During the coming influenza season, when the incidence of H1N1 is anticipated to be high, patients with influenza-like illness in pregnancy should be diagnosed and treated presumptively on the basis of symptoms alone.

What are the risk factors for severe disease?
Risk factors in our patients, which also have been noted in nonpregnant patients, include obesity, low socioeconomic status, active or past tobacco use, third-trimester gestation, and underlying cardiac disease (Case 3).1,3,8,9 In contrast to previous influenza epidemics, children, young adults, and obese patients have been widely reported to bear a disproportionate burden of disease caused by H1N1 influenza. Pregnant women, however, have been known to be at increased risk with previous influenza epidemics, as is being seen in this pandemic of H1N1 disease.

What are potential maternal and fetal complications from influenza?
In our patients, we observed adult respiratory distress syndrome, superimposed bacterial pneumonia, renal failure, pulmonary embolus, and maternal death. The increased minute ventilation, reduced tidal volumes, and decreased functional residual capacity of normal pregnancy physiology leave less reserve capacity for significant stress on pulmonary function. Although the immune system perturbations in pregnancy that may account for increased severity of disease are poorly understood, recent research posits that cytotoxic T-cells and dendritic cell changes may help explain the observed alterations in the maternal systemic immune response.10

In our experience, significant volume overload contributed to worsened respiratory status. Interestingly, the gestational age of both intubated patients, both in their third trimester, is consistent with observations from a large epidemiologic study from 1998 evaluating the rate of influenza-related complications over 17 influenza seasons in women enrolled in the Tennessee Medicaid system. The authors demonstrated a high risk for hospitalization for influenza-related reasons in low-risk pregnant women during the last trimester of pregnancy.5 The decreased colloid oncotic pressure in the third trimester of normal

---
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---
ment regimens initiated within 48 hours of symptom onset. These reports suggest incomplete suppression of viral replication at standard doses of oseltamivir, leading to opportunity for viral mutation and uncontrollable clinical disease.13

Additional considerations for the dosing of oseltamivir in pregnancy include the increased glomerular filtration rate normally seen in pregnancy. Few data exist regarding the effect of normal physiology of pregnancy on plasma concentrations of this drug. However, because this drug is cleared by the kidney, it is plausible that lower circulating concentrations of the drug may be seen in pregnant women.

In acutely ill patients requiring assisted ventilation, these data have helped guide our practice to increase oseltamivir dosing to 150 mg twice daily, orally, for a total of 10 days (Table 3). This increased dosing regimen is only being used for patients presenting with or developing severe disease. For patients with mild influenza symptoms who can be managed at home, or are hospitalized but not requiring assisted ventilation, we are still using the standard adult oseltamivir dose of 75 mg twice daily for 5 days.

What is the ideal time frame for treatment?
The paucity of data regarding the safety profile of oseltamivir in pregnancy and lactation makes overtreatment of pregnant and nursing women unappealing. However, in light of the severity of disease and serious morbidity and mortality complicating severe cases, we follow the Center for Disease Control and Prevention’s recommendations and feel that the benefits outweigh the theoretical harms of treatment in this population.

Ideally, treatment should be initiated within the first 48 hours of influenza symptoms for maximal benefit. Neither negative rapid test results nor mild initial presentations should delay treatment. All pregnant women with suspected influenza should be prescribed treatment with oseltamivir. In addition, based on our experience, we feel that treatment should not be withheld in gravid patients who present with febrile illness consistent with influenza outside of the 48-hour window, because treatment even at a later stage may prevent more serious complications such as bacterial pneumonia.8

What are the current recommendations for influenza vaccination in pregnancy? Are vaccinations available for H1N1 influenza?
The most recent practice bulletin of the American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists supports the routine vaccination of pregnant women during flu season.14 Although no vaccination yet exists for the
prevention of the H1N1 strain of influenza, trials are underway to study candidate vaccines and their efficacy in pregnant patients. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention have listed pregnant women as a priority group for vaccination, along with children and health care workers. Public health authorities are working to ready a vaccine for distribution and administration in October 2009. Currently, it is unclear whether the vaccine will be ready by this target date and if so, in what quantity. Practitioners should remain attuned to situation updates from CDC and implement vaccination programs for their patients as vaccine becomes available this fall and winter.
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